

# Do short-selling constraints matter?

Discussion Prepared by  
Ingrid M. Werner

Fisher College of Business, The Ohio State University  
FIRS, Lisbon, 2016

# Main findings

- Start with the Diamond and Verrecchia (1987) rational expectations model.
  - Market maker learns from buy/sell orders
- Add uncertainty about the number of informed traders and prohibitive costs to short sell:
  - Market prices do not converge to fundamental value
  - Market prices can overshoot or undershoot
  - Market prices may depend on the investors' prior and the (buy/sell) sample path

# Comments

- Speed of convergence
- Over- versus underpricing
- Constrained short selling
  - Evidence
- Multiple values
- Conclusion

# Speed of convergence

- As long as the cost does not wipe out the entire gains informed traders will reap from shorting, they will short and therefore the price converges to fundamental value.
  - But, does the price converge more slowly when cost is negligible versus zero?
- If costs are prohibitive, and the precision of the informed traders' signal is sufficiently high, the price converges to fundamental value.
  - Again, does the price converge more slowly when costs are prohibitive versus negligible?
- **What if horizon is finite?**

# Over- versus underpricing

- Market makers may over- or undershoot the fundamental value depending on what their priors are relative to the true values of  $\mu$  and  $v$ .
  - Confusion when updating...
- Likelihood of mispricing due to short sale constraints is higher if the fundamental value is low.
  - Mispricing more acute after bad news?
- As the ownership of asset 1 increases, it is easier to achieve convergence because there are more investors that could be long sellers.
  - Effects are damped for large, widely held stocks?
- **Empirical predictions?**

# Constrained short selling

- If short sale costs are non-negligible, but not prohibitive short sales will be constrained and the effect is larger the closer the market price gets to fundamental value.
  - The benefits of trading based on information decline as price converges to fundamental value.
- Numerical example shows cost exceeding 9% is prohibitive.

# Contrarian Short Selling

Diether and Werner (2011)

- Constraints, as captured by loan fees, fails to deliver, and imputed loan fees, affect the strategies of NYSE and Nasdaq short sellers.
- About 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the cross-section of stocks experiences a significant reduction in the contrarian response of short sellers to past returns.
- **However, only for the top 1% of the cross-section is the contrarian behavior by short sellers completely eliminated.**

# Estimate of prohibitive costs

## Dieter and Werner (2011)

$$relss_{it} = \sum_t \phi_t I_t^d + \sum_{j,j \neq 1} \alpha_j I_j^c + \sum_j \beta_j^+ r_{i,-5,-1}^+ I_j^c + \sum_j \beta_j^- r_{i,-5,-1}^- I_j^c + \gamma X_{i,t-6} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

	Panel A: $fee_{max}$ / past positive return interaction				$\beta_{>7}^+$
	$\beta_{gb}^+$	$\beta_{gb,1\%}^+$	$\beta_{1\%,4\%}^+$	$\beta_{4\%,7\%}^+$	
NYSE Stocks					
Est. Slope Coef.: $\beta_j^+$	0.384 (10.44)	0.411 (13.56)	0.317 (3.76)	0.472 (4.61)	-0.024 (-0.27)
Differences: $\beta_{b,1\%}^+ - \beta_j^+$		0.027 (0.59)	-0.067 (-0.74)	0.088 (0.82)	-0.408 (-4.30)
Nasdaq Stocks					
Slope Coef.: $\beta_j^+$	0.224 ( 10.82)	0.271 (12.82)	0.092 (1.92)	0.117 (3.11)	0.050 (0.86)
Differences: $\beta_{b,1\%}^+ - \beta_j^+$		0.046 (1.62)	-0.132 (-2.51)	-0.107 (-2.52)	-0.174 (-2.76)

# Delay

Diether and Werner (2011)

- Price delays are significantly higher for stocks with limited lendable supply.
- **The delay is as much as 10% higher for the most constrained stocks (the top 1%).**
- When constraints make it difficult for short sellers to trade on short-term overreaction, the market price deviates from fundamental value more often and for longer periods of time.

# Return predictability

Diether and Werner (2011)

- For the most constrained stocks, average abnormal returns are actually negative for stocks that are lightly shorted.
- **Thus, the previously documented relation between short selling activity and future returns breaks down for the most constrained stocks.**

# Multiple values

- With multiple possible values, market prices may converge to different values depending on the sequence of buy and sell orders.
- Provides an incentive to “manipulate” convergence
  - IPO pricing
  - Price stabilization
  - **Share-buy backs**
  - **Market timing of issuance**
  - **Announcements**

# Conclusion

- Nice and clean analysis of the implication of the cost of short sales for price paths and convergence.
- Predictions make sense to me, and appear to square well with empirical evidence.
- Extensions:
  - **Speed of convergence/finite horizon**
  - **Empirical predictions**
  - **Wealth constraints on the long side?**

# Typos (for authors)

- P. 2, “many investors informed” should be “many informed investors”
- Lemmas 7 and 8 on p. 20 should be Lemmas 3 and 4.
- Proposition 9 on p. 24 should be Proposition 4, and Lemmas 7 and 8 on same page should be Lemmas 3 and 4.
- Proposition 9 on p. 25 should be Proposition 4.